The Power of One: a Highly Detailed, Log-Based, Case Example that Clearly Demonstrates the Effective Use of Ranolazine for the Control of Progressive Atrial Fibrillation

James A. Reiffel, MD
Professor of Clinical Medicine, Columbia University.

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a frequently encountered tachyarrhythmia that can substantially impair quality of life through symptom production, adverse consequences (such as stroke, systemic embolization, heart failure, hospitalization, death), economic impact, and more. In many patients AF is progressive and reaches a pattern (frequency, duration, and/or severity of symptoms) for which the patient seeks therapy. Such therapy often includes an antiarrhythmic strategy.

When antiarrhythmic drug therapy is chosen, the agent may be selected from among those drugs approved by regulatory agencies (such as the federal Food and Drug Administration [FDA] in the U.S.) that have an indication for use in AF (such as, alphabetically: dofetilide, dronedarone, flecainide, propafenone, quinidine, sotalol), or the agent may be selected “off-label” from other available drugs for which the medical literature offers support for AF management -- amiodarone being, perhaps, the major representative of this latter approach.

Recently, the drug ranolazine, an agent approved in the U.S. for the management of angina pectoris, has attracted attention as a potential antiarrhythmic agent for AF (off-label) as ranolazine has been demonstrated to have antiarrhythmic actions, including effects on the “late sodium current” and potassium flux, among others. Recent reports have been published indicating that ranolazine has been effective as “pill-in-the-pocket” therapy for pharmacologic conversion of recent onset AF, for AF occurring acutely after cardiac surgery, and in selected other circumstances of limited duration. The following case, in which the patient compulsively tracked his episodes of AF in a detailed log, clearly demonstrates the potential of ranolazine for the chronic management of progressive, drug-resistant, paroxysmal AF.

Case Report

The patient was a 45 year old male at our first encounter in 1993, at which time he gave a history of 5 episodes of documented symptomatic paroxysmal AF (PAF) between 1990 and 1993. Rate control was attained with digoxin, which reduced the severity of his symptoms but did not abolish their occurrence. Figure 1 demonstrates the course of his AF from 1990 to the present time. A thorough investigation into the possible etiology of his PAF was...
unrevealing aside from a familial link on the male side of his family and a personal and familial tendency towards sinus bradycardia [his father had AF and a permanent pacemaker]. All hematological studies (including thyroid function) were normal, as was chest X ray, ECG (aside from his PAF), echocardiography, and stress testing with nuclear and echocardiographic images on different occasions. When PAF occurred, it was associated with irregular palpitations, mild dyspnea, vague weakness, and mild chest discomfort (prior to rate control with digoxin but not after it). Episode duration varied from 1 hr to 24 hrs as judged from his episode log, which he kept in detail. Commonly their onset was nocturnal.

In 2006, because of an increase in the frequency and average duration of his episodes, a trial of omega-3 fish oil was begun, without effect, and, in addition, he was given flecainide, 300 mg single dose, to use as “pill-in-the-pocket” therapy to attain pharmacologic abbreviation of the episodes, which worked well. Nonetheless, his episodes increased in frequency [see Figure 1], such that he then underwent trials of twice daily administration of flecainide, then propafenone, then disopyramide. Each of these had minimal effect on his episode frequency; each was associated with bothersome side effects; and each, in turn was discontinued. He declined to be hospitalized for a trial of dofetilide, and declined trials of sotalol or amiodarone out of concern that they would provoke the need for pacemaker insertion. Between 2006 and mid 2009, the patient documented in his log 156 episodes -- despite the periods of antiarrhythmic drug use as noted above. In mid 2009, a trial of ranolazine was begun, in addition to his digoxin. Initially he was given 1000 mg twice daily, but this was soon reduced to 500 mg twice daily because of constipation. Since being on ranolazine, he has noted only 5 episodes, all brief, with none at all over the past 5 months.

Figure 3: A time plot of the frequency of paroxysmal AF events and simultaneous drug therapy. The top, middle, and bottom time bars are continuous.
Discusssion

PAF is a very common dysrhythmia that: is often associated with significant impairment on quality of life; usually requires therapy; can remain stable in its pattern, can progress in frequency, and/or can presage the development of persistent episodes requiring cardioversion and/or the development of permanent AF.1,2

Antiarrhythmic drug therapy may be effective in managing PAF, as defined by a reduction in frequency, duration, and severity of episodes to the point of being acceptable to the patient (but rarely, if ever, to the point of permanent elimination).2,3 Antiarrhythmic therapy may also reduce hospitalization for AF, heart failure from AF, and, perhaps in some circumstances, mortality associated with AF.4,14 Antiarrhythmic therapy, however, may also be associated with fatal or debilitating adverse consequences, including (for drugs) organ toxicity, ventricular proarrhythmia, bradyarrhythmias and conduction disturbances, hemodynamic deterioration/heart failure, and death, and (for non-pharmacologic therapy) procedural complications, including death, perforation, embolization, and others.1,2 Accordingly, there is a pressing need for additional effective and safer therapies.

Some new therapies will come through well designed industry development programs. Others may come from careful clinical observations of “off-label” experience. That is the purpose of reporting the case herein. Ranolazine is an already marketed antianginal compound which in its development program has been found to be free from organ toxicity; ventricular proarrhythmia; the provocation of bradyarrhythmias, conduction defects, or hypo/hypertension; or hemodynamic impairment. It has also been found to possess electrophysiologic actions that may provide antiarrhythmic benefit for AF (among other arrhythmias) as well as protection against the generation of torsades de pointes that can accompany the use of several of our currently available antiarrhythmic drugs.4-13

In the current case, with episodes more closely tracked than in any previously published, ranolazine provided now long-term beneficial management of AF in a patient with a progressive pattern that failed to improve with class IC and IA antiarrhythmics, and has done so without the provocation of any worsening of his sinus bradycardia or the production of any other serious adverse effect.

This case adds strength to the growing body of literature indicating the potential role of ranolazine for the management of AF. Importantly, regardless of whether any subsequent investigations demonstrate a high degree of efficacy or only a modest one for ranolazine, cases such as this do reveal the importance of individual observations (“the power of one”) in conjunction with clinical trials in advancing our knowledge base concerning therapeutic options and advancement.
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