Very Long-Term Results Of Atrial Fibrillation Ablation Confirm That This Therapy Is Really Effective
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Abstract

Catheter ablation -in general- is a highly effective and “curative” intervention for a broad spectrum of supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias. After a successful procedure eliminating a simple arrhythmia substrate, the recurrence rate is low and the short term success correlates well with the long term freedom from the arrhythmia.

Introduction

Since the identification of trigger activity in the pulmonary vein by Haissaguerre et al., catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation (AF) has became an established therapeutic modality for the treatment of patients with AF. Published data in the literature suggest that success rates following ablation of AF are relatively favorable (50–70%) but most studies have reported limited follow-up of 1 or 2 years after the first ablation and the long-term outcomes have not been fully elucidated.

Why do we need more information regarding the long term follow up data following AF ablation, in contrast with conventional ablation procedures?

First, the clinical significance of an AF recurrence is usually more pronounced than other arrhythmias because of the well known deleterious consequences of this arrhythmia, with special attention to thromboembolic complications.

Furthermore, the pathologic mechanism of AF is complex with a special interplay between the triggering structures and a continuously evolving left atrial substrate. Consequently, it is important to analyze the long term response and define the durability of different ablation techniques to achieve a better clinical outcome.

Pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) is the mainstay therapy of paroxysmal AF, but its success is suboptimal in the persistent population. Additional ablation techniques have been introduced during the last decade. The AF population is very heterogeneous, with respect to duration and type of arrhythmia, comorbidities etc. On top of that, ablation results may depend on different definition of success, and follow up methods. Consequently, a comprehensive discussion of long term outcome of catheter ablation should include parameters like type of AF, ablation strategies, the use of antiarrhythmic drugs after ablation, multiple procedures, success definitions, the frequency and intensity of arrhythmia monitoring. The aim of the current study is to review the literature and evaluate the very long term success of catheter ablation of AF.

Definition Of Long Term Follow Up

In the 2012 Expert Consensus on catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation, late recurrence of AF is defined as a recurrence after 12 months or more after AF ablation and the long term success is defined as freedom from AF following the 3 months blanking period through a minimum of 36 months. There is also consensus that all patients who undergo catheter ablation of AF should be controlled every six months for at least two years. In our review, we defined very long term follow up to be longer than 3 years after the index procedure.

Impact Of Type Of AF

Depending on whether patients have paroxysmal (PAF), persistent, or longstanding persistent AF, the outcome of ablation procedures differs considerably. A systematic review and meta-analysis including, mostly retrospective studies published by Ganesan et al demonstrated that the single procedure success for PAF was 68.6% at 1 year, 61.1% at 3 years and 62.3% at 5 years. After multiple procedures (average 1.45 procedure per patient) 79% of patients were free from AF at 5 years follow-up. Comparing patients with persistent and longstanding persistent AF after a single procedure the results were less favorable, 50.8% at 1 year, and 41.6% at 3 years. After multiple procedures, the success was definitely more promising in this population, 77.8% in the long term, but only few studies reported the outcome of AF ablation after more than 3 years suggesting that we need more data to definitively assess the very long term efficacy.
of ablation in persistent atrial fibrillation. The authors concluded that both single and multiple procedure success rates showed adequate stability over 3 years with a significant residual risk for a recurrence and the paroxysmal cohort demonstrated a superior single procedure efficacy. Tzou et al9 reported an AF freedom, off AAD, of 85% at 3 years and 71% at 5 years, with a 7% per year late recurrence after the first ablation in a mixed paroxysmal and persistent AF population. In a multivariate analysis, persistent AF was an independent predictor for recurrence.

Recently, Steinberg et al.10 published a large prospective cohort of AF population (72% paroxysmal, 28% persistent) and followed 445 patients for even a decade after a 1 year complete success following PVI. During a 62 months median follow up, 22% of patients developed very late arrhythmia recurrence, and the authors demonstrated that the slope of the recurrence curve declined linearly. When they analyzed the differences in outcome on the basis of the arrhythmia pattern before the index ablation procedure, the results were strikingly different. The recurrence rates at 2, 5, and 10 years were 3%, 11%, and 27% vs 13%, 29%, and 62% for paroxysmal and persistent AF patients, respectively (P< .0001). The authors concluded that the majority of AF patients did quite well over the time, and the ablation results are sustainable even for the long term as well, but using multivariate analysis, persistent AF (hazard ratio 3.08; P< .0001) was an independent risk factor for recurrence of AF.

An interesting question concerning the long term recurrence and efficacy of the ablation procedure whether these interventions can prevent progression of the arrhythmia from paroxysmal to persistent form. In the study of Takigawa et al3 during a median follow up of approximately 48 months, AF progressed from paroxysmal to persistent in 1.2% of patients in accordance with previous investigations where the AF progression rate was similar (1.5% -3%).11,12 In contrast, the results of pharmacologic therapy are definitely worse, the reported rates vary between 5.5% and 15% per year.13,14 These observations suggest that the interventional therapy is better than drugs alone for preventing AF progression, which is an important aspect of long term consequences of the arrhythmia.

**Impact of Ablation Techniques**

Whereas a consensus has been reached on the suitable approach for ablation of patients with paroxysmal AF, no such consensus exists for patients with persistent and long lasting persistent AF regarding the optimal technology of treatment.

Numerous clinical trials demonstrated that the main mechanism of AF recurrence after PVI in the paroxysmal population is the resumption of electrical conduction between the veins and left atrial muscle. This statement is true for either the short or the long term recurrences (see below).8,15 Based upon these observations we should assume that at least in PAF, the durability of venous isolation and therefore permanent electrical disconnection plays a crucial role in maintaining procedural effectiveness in the long term. Accordingly, any kind of procedural tool or technique which can facilitate the durable isolation of pulmonary veins can be useful.

Segmental PV ablation or wider continuous circumferential antral ablation, two different procedures which have been used most commonly in clinical practice showed different outcomes. Sawhney et al16 reported that 86% of the patients were free from AF at 1 year follow up after segmental pulmonary vein isolation, with 79%, and 56% free at 2 and 5 years respectively. A meta-analysis done by Proietti et al including12 studies that compared the effectiveness of wide antral versus segmental pulmonary vein isolation concluded that PVI performed with a wide antral approach is more effective than ostial PVI in achieving freedom from atrial tachyarrhythmia recurrence at long-term follow-up (OR, 0.33; 95% CI, 0.24-0.46; P< .00001). They excluded the studies in which electric isolation was not assessed or if different catheter technologies were used. Ganesan et al also investigated if there is a statistical difference in outcomes of segmental PV isolation compared with wide antral circumferential ablation. The conclusion here was no, but they included also the studies with wide circumferential ablation without assessing the isolation of the pulmonary veins.

An alternative energy source that has been developed to overcome some of the disadvantages of radiofrequency ablation is cryoenergy using a balloon based technology. A comparison (1:1 propensity score match) between cryoballoon and radiofrequency ablation showed similar long term success rates with a recurrence rate of 45% in both groups after a two-year follow-up 18. Neumann et al reported freedom from AF in 74% of patients with paroxysmal AF and 42% with persistent AF, but the follow up time was shorter. Cryoablation is a new technology and it is under continuous development, but whether it can improve very long term outcomes has to be investigated in the future.

As mentioned earlier, in patients with persistent and longstanding persistent AF the data concerning the outcomes are considerably less favorable than for PAF. The wide contrast in PVI success rates between paroxysmal and persistent AF suggested that the mechanisms can be substantially different, and probably related to electrophysiological and structural remodeling of left atrial substrate. Not surprisingly,
current approaches designed to target persistent AF are mainly based on modification of the atrial substrate, but exhibit remarkable differences, and a widely accepted uniform strategy is missing.

Different ablation strategies, including the ablation of complex fractionated atrial electrograms (CFAEs), linear lesions in the left atrium, ablation at the maximal high dominant frequency spots, and rotor ablation have been developed as an add-on to pulmonary vein isolation to improve the outcome in this group. PVI alone can be sufficient to maintain sinus rhythm in 21% of patients after a single procedure and in 43.2% after 1-3 procedures in a retrospective analysis. The same 21-22% success with a single procedure and 37-43% success rate after repeat procedures was published by Brooks at al in a review of 32 studies. They also reported the success rate of other techniques: linear ablation in addition to PVI (11-74%), posterior wall isolation (42-50%) CFAE ablation (36-68%) or "stepwise" ablation approach (38-62%). The integration of repeat procedures and addition of previously ineffective antiarrhythmic drugs further improved clinical success. The variation in the success rate suggests that the best approach in this group is still unclear. However, persistent and long-standing persistent AF can be treated with a relatively high success rate during rather a medium term follow up, since really long term data are still lacking.

Our approach is wide area antral circumferential ablation for paroxysmal and persistent patients as well, with complete isolation of pulmonary veins, without creating additional lesions in the left atrium. During the procedures we use open irrigation radiofrequency catheters and a combination of EAM and intracardiac echocardiography (Figure 1) to enhance the anatomical orientation and the monitoring of catheter-tissue contact. After a mean of 18 months follow up time the recurrence rate after single procedure was 52% and 61%, after multiple procedures was 19% and 29%, in a paroxysmal and persistent cohort respectively (Figure 2).

**Impact of Follow up Techniques**

Apart from the above mentioned factors, the varying results reported by those studies could be attributed to substantial differences in follow up methods. During the first year, the majority of studies performed clinical examination, electrocardiogram and 24-hour Holter monitoring or event recorders at 3, 6, and 12 months. Beyond the first year, the intensity of follow up is usually reduced to 1 or 2 outpatient visits per year or even based on data from referring clinicians. There is a clear positive correlation between the duration and intensity of the follow-up and the arrhythmia detection rate.

For the short term follow up, 7 day Holter and transtelephonic monitoring are proven to be effective to detect asymptomatic AF episodes. Piorowski et al. showed that using serial 7 day Holter and transtelephonic monitoring, the "real" procedural success rate decreased from 70% to 50% and 45 % respectively.

The definition of long term ablation success remains controversial because current post ablation rhythm monitoring strategies are based on symptom and/or intermittent ECG recordings and thus probably underestimate the real rate of AF recurrences. Continuous monitoring like implantable loop recorders are useful tools but to put these devices into an everyday practice is limited by cost, patients compliance and high burden of false detection.

**Predictors And Mechanism Of Recurrence**

As we suggested earlier, the success of catheter ablation may depend on technical aspects of the procedure but also on patient related factors. Patients in whom AF recurred, exhibit specific clinical characteristics which can be considered as independent predictors of late AF recurrence. Some studies reported history of persistent AF as a predictor of very late recurrence while other studies found that there was no significant association between the AF type and risk of recurrence. The heterogeneity in results across the studies can be explained by the heterogeneous definition of AF type and the differences in terminology pertaining to "long term" follow up. The duration of AF history is a very important predictor of AF recurrence, but other studies could not find a significant association between AF duration and AF recurrence. A possible explanation is that duration of AF does not necessarily correlate with the length of the AF episodes and may not reflect the extent of atrial remodeling.

Other commonly identified predictors of AF recurrence are age > 65 years, left atrial diameter >24mm/m²,31 left ventricular systolic dysfunction, heart failure, structural or valvular heart disease, hypertension and hyperlipidemia. These observations indicate the role of enhanced vulnerability of left atrial myocardium induced by these factors beyond the importance of trigger mechanism. Aggressive medical treatment of these conditions and risk factors reduction may improve the efficacy of AF ablation. Pathak et al. reported in a recent publication that risk factor management according to American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology guidelines significantly improved the outcome of AF ablation in terms of AF burden and also generated favorable changes in cardiac remodeling.

The main mechanism of the early recurrence following atrial fibrillation ablation is the reconnection of previously isolated pulmonary veins. In contrast, in patients with very late recurrence the mechanism is not completely elucidated. Lin et al. found that the majority of patients with recurrent AF undergoing a 3rd or more procedure after a mean follow up of 36±22 months (range 12 to 119 months) had reconnected pulmonary veins with triggers originating from the culprit PVs. (Figure 3). However, in 20% of patients, new non-PV triggers were identified at the time of 3rd or 4th procedure.
and the majority of non-PV triggers were mapped in the right atrium or coronary sinus. Steinberg at al also found that in patients undergoing reablation for very late AF recurrence, just 4% of PVs were completely isolated. Conversely, Somotia at al found that the prevalence of PV reconnections and trigger PV reconnection were significantly lower in the very late recurrence group (>12 months, 69%) than in the late recurrence group (3–12 months, 90%) and also more patients required non-PV trigger ablation. In accordance with this observation, Kurotobi at al demonstrated that the presence of residual arrhythmogenic non-PV foci are associated with an increased long term recurrence rate after successful isolation of PVs and left atrial linear lesions in a long-standing persistent AF population.

Conclusions

During the last decade, numerous data became available regarding the long term efficacy of the interventional treatment of atrial fibrillation. These data can be especially important for estimating prognosis, evaluation of currently available ablation techniques, and last but not least for the reimbursement policy of procedures. If we summarize the results of mostly retrospective analyses, we can conclude that long term freedom from AF is achievable and maintainable over 2-3 years or even more with mild increases in arrhythmia recurrence over the time. This statement is especially true for the paroxysmal AF population, following initial PVI procedures. Single procedure success rate is definitely lower in the long term, so for achieving a durable result, multiple procedures have to be taken into account. The success of an ablation procedure is less encouraging in the persistent population, moreover there is no real consensus regarding the best ablation strategy beyond PVI, to improve the long term efficacy rate.

It is likely that the main mechanism behind very late recurrences of AF is the PV reconnection and recurrent pulmonary vein triggers, but progressive remodeling of left atrial substrate as well as non-PV triggers can play an important role over time, especially in the persistent AF population.

It should be noted that strict AF free success rates in both groups probably underestimate the real long term clinical benefit of the procedures if we focus on symptomatic improvement or fewer hospitalizations. Furthermore, it can not be overemphasized that studies demonstrating very different results regarding the outcome of procedures are showing significant heterogeneity in terms of the definition of success, methodology of follow up, and the applied ablation technologies.
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