

A Comparison Between Dabigatran and Warfarin on Time to Elective Cardioversion

Amanda N. Basto, PharmD,¹ Nathan P. Fewel, PharmD,¹ Rajiv Gupta, MD,¹ Eileen M. Stock, PhD,^{2,3} Mia Ta, PharmD¹

¹Central Texas Veterans Health Care System, Department of Veterans Affairs, Temple, TX, USA. ²Cooperative Studies Program Coordinating Center, Department of Veterans Affairs, Perry Point, MD, USA. ³Texas A&M Health Science Center, College of Medicine, Bryan, TX, USA.

Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the use of dabigatran versus warfarin on time to elective direct current cardioversion (DCCV).

Methodology: This retrospective observational study was conducted at a single Veterans Affairs hospital in the Southwestern region of the U.S. Patients with atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter who were initiated on either warfarin or dabigatran prior to DCCV were reviewed. The time to cardioversion was compared between warfarin and dabigatran, as well as costs of therapy, rescheduling rates, and adverse events.

Results: Out of 258 patients reviewed, a total of 68 patients were included in the study. All patients were male with an average age of 68 years (SD=8.6). A total of 38 patients (56%) received dabigatran and 30 patients (44%) received warfarin. Patients in both groups had a median CHADS₂ and HASBLED score of 2. The median number of days to cardioversion was 34.5 (range=22-148) for dabigatran compared to 66.5 (range=32-183) for warfarin (p<0.01). Total costs of anticoagulation for warfarin averaged \$183.50 (SD=95.02) from initiation of anticoagulation to the end of the required four week period following cardioversion, whereas dabigatran costs averaged \$193.20 (SD=59.38). Three patients (10%) in the warfarin group had DCCV rescheduled compared to none in the dabigatran group. There was one bleeding event in the warfarin group and no thromboembolic events in either group.

Conclusion: The use of dabigatran prior to elective DCCV results in a significant decrease in number of days from initiation of anticoagulation to cardioversion as compared to warfarin, with a minor increase in total costs.

Background

Rhythm control with direct current cardioversion (DCCV) is a commonly used strategy in the treatment of atrial fibrillation (AF). Factors favoring rhythm control include young age, first episode of AF, difficulty in achieving rate control, persistent symptoms despite rate control, tachycardia induced cardiomyopathy, and patient preference. Both the current CHEST and AHA/ACC/HRS guidelines recommend therapeutic anticoagulation for a minimum of three weeks before and four weeks after cardioversion for patients with AF or atrial flutter.^{1,2} In studies with warfarin, the risk of thromboembolic events following DCCV was significantly reduced with appropriate anticoagulation.^{3,4} However, interactions with diet and other drugs, frequent blood tests for monitoring, and INRs outside

of therapeutic range can result in rescheduling and delay DCCV, making warfarin less desirable to use. A meta-analysis to assess the quality of warfarin control in atrial fibrillation patients found INRs within therapeutic range only 55% of the time.⁵ The approval of new agents in the class of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) in recent years has expanded options for patients undergoing cardioversion. Dabigatran (Pradaxa[®]) was the first DOAC approved in 2010 for stroke prophylaxis in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. DOACs are an attractive option overcoming the limitations of warfarin as they do not require INR monitoring.

There is limited literature comparing the use of warfarin to dabigatran for anticoagulation associated with cardioversion, although the 2012 CHEST guidelines recommend dabigatran as an option for anticoagulation prior to DCCV.¹ The Veterans Affairs (VA) criteria for dabigatran use, last updated in December 2014, lists warfarin as the standard of care for anticoagulation associated with cardioversion. This study intended to evaluate if dabigatran reduces the number of days from start of anticoagulation to cardioversion in our veteran population. Additionally, this study sought to observe rescheduling rates, adverse outcomes such as thromboembolic and bleeding events, and compare costs of anticoagulation between the two groups.

Key Words:

Cardioversion, DCCV, Dabigatran, Warfarin, Anticoagulation.

Disclosures:

None.

Corresponding Author:

Amanda Basto,
Central Texas Veterans Health Care System,
Pharmacy Service (119T),
Temple, TX, 76504, USA.

Table 1: Patients undergoing elective direct current cardioversion receiving either warfarin or dabigatran

	Total Sample (N=68) mean (SD) median (min-max)	Warfarin (N=30, 44.1%) mean (SD) median (min-max)	Dabigatran (N=38, 55.9%) mean (SD) median (min-max)	P-value
Age	67.5 (8.6) 68.0 (41-89)	69.3 (7.5) 68.5 (59-89)	66.1 (9.2) 68.0 (41-83)	0.43
CHADS ₂	1.72 (0.98) 2 (0-4)	1.67 (1.03) 2 (0-3)	1.76 (0.94) 2 (0-4)	0.80
HASBLED	2.16 (0.94) 2 (0-4)	2.03 (0.93) 2 (0-4)	2.26 (0.95) 2 (1-4)	0.35
Time to cardioversion (days)	58.0 (37.6) 43.5 (22-183)	78.8 (40.4) 66.5 (32-183)	41.6 (25.6) 34.5 (22-148)	<0.01

Methods

Study Design

This retrospective observational study was approved by the local institutional review board at the Central Texas Veterans Health Care System. Data was collected by retrospective chart review. Patients were included if they received DCCV between January 2010 through January 2015, were started on warfarin or dabigatran with a plan for elective cardioversion, and were between 18-89 years old. Patients were excluded if they underwent pharmacologic cardioversion, emergent cardioversion, or early transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) based cardioversion.

Anticoagulation

Anticoagulation was initiated by physicians, and follow-up was monitored through an anticoagulation service managed by clinical pharmacists and clinical pharmacy technicians. For warfarin patients, clinical pharmacists conducted the initial visits and reviewed all subsequent INRs. Patients were responsible for arriving to the nearest laboratory when requested by the anticoagulation clinic and were contacted by telephone if an INR was above or below goal range. For dabigatran patients, pharmacists did the initial medication counseling, and clinical pharmacy technicians provided telephone follow-up at approximately two weeks and one month after initiation. Pharmacy encounters were documented in 15-minute increments which were used to calculate the cost of clinical time associated with monitoring anticoagulation. In addition, lab assay costs, lab technician costs, and medication costs were factored in the total cost of anticoagulation. Data used for the cost analysis was collected from the start of anticoagulation to the end of the required four-week period following cardioversion.

Statistical Methods

Descriptive statistics were calculated for age, CHADS₂ and HASBLED scores, and the number of days to cardioversion. Bivariate analyses were used to assess underlying differences among patients receiving the two anticoagulants. Two-sample independent T-tests were used for total cost, and the nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used for other continuous measures. Fisher's exact test was employed to compare categorical outcomes due to small expected cell counts (less than 5). A type I error of $\alpha = 0.05$ was assumed for all tests. All analyses were performed using SAS, Version 9.2 (Cary, NC).

Results

Out of 258 patients reviewed, a total of 68 patients fulfilled the

inclusion criteria and were included in the analysis. Patients were all male with a median age of 68 years (Table 1). The median CHADS₂ score was 2 and the median HASBLED score was 2. The median time from initiation of anticoagulation to cardioversion for all patients was 44 days.

A total of 30 patients (44%) received warfarin and 38 patients (56%) received dabigatran. In the warfarin group, the time from initiation of anticoagulation to cardioversion was significantly longer than dabigatran (median 67 vs. 35 days; $p < 0.01$). There were no thromboembolic events in either anticoagulation group. There was one bleeding event in the warfarin group manifested by hematuria resulting in hospitalization and discontinuation of warfarin prior to completing four weeks of anticoagulation after cardioversion. There were no bleeding events in the dabigatran group. Three patients (10%) in the warfarin group had their cardioversion rescheduled ($p = 0.08$). Two of these three patients had documented subtherapeutic INRs resulting in rescheduling of the cardioversions.

The warfarin group had more pharmacist visits (median 8 vs. 2; $p < 0.01$) and technician visits (median 3.5 vs. 2; $p < 0.01$). Medication costs were higher for patients receiving dabigatran than warfarin (median \$141.90 vs. \$4.83; $p < 0.01$). However, the total costs of anticoagulation, which included drug costs, pharmacist and technician time, and lab costs, averaged \$183.50 for warfarin and \$193.20 for dabigatran ($p < 0.01$); (Table 2). Patients on warfarin had an average of 11 INRs checked from initiation of anticoagulation to the end of the required four week period following cardioversion.

Discussion

The results of this study show that in patients with AF or atrial flutter undergoing DCCV for restoration of sinus rhythm, dabigatran significantly reduces the number of days from initiation of anticoagulation to cardioversion compared to warfarin. Both groups in this study had similar background characteristics such as mean age, male gender, and CHADS₂ or HASBLED scores. These results were similar to those of a study from another VA health system which found that dabigatran significantly reduced the median number of days to cardioversion by 33 days and had similar overall costs compared to warfarin.⁶ Similar conclusions were also made in a limited number of studies using non-veteran populations.^{7,8} One of these studies concluded that dabigatran improves the efficiency of an elective DCCV service by significantly lowering the rates of rescheduling compared with warfarin (9.7% vs. 34.4%) and reduces the time between initial assessment and DCCV by an average of 22 days.⁸ In addition to the obvious improvements in efficiency, these findings have a meaningful impact on clinical practice as studies have suggested that success of electrical cardioversion is inversely related to duration in AF.⁹

Reasons observed for rescheduling in the warfarin group included subtherapeutic INRs and patient unavailability. The rescheduling rate in the warfarin group of our study was lower than those found by other studies previously mentioned,^{6,8} which may be a result of different scheduling procedures between health care systems. Another reason may be a selection bias since several patients were included in the dabigatran group that had been converted from warfarin due to variable or subtherapeutic INRs. For these patients, measuring rates of rescheduling in this study did not adequately capture the delays in cardioversion related to subtherapeutic INRs.

There were no statistically significant differences in thromboembolism and bleeding events in this study, which has been

Table 2: Cost comparison between warfarin and dabigatran

	Warfarin (N=30, 44.1%) mean (SD) median (min-max)	Dabigatran (N=38, 55.9%) mean (SD) median (min-max)	P-value
Pharmacist visits (per 15min)	9.3 (4.7) 8.0 (4-26)	2.2 (0.4) 2.0 (2-3)	<0.01
Technician visits (per 15min)	3.7 (2.8) 3.5 (0-12)	1.9 (0.4) 2.0 (0-2)	<0.01
INR counts	11.2 (4.4) 11.0 (5-23)	n/a	
Medication costs (\$)	5.40 (2.04) 4.83 (2.85-10.97)	158.10 (58.08) 141.9 (113.5-399.90)	<0.01
Total costs (\$)	183.50 (95.02) 157.80 (59.65-410.20)	193.20 (59.38) 175.40 (145.60-441.10)	<0.01

demonstrated in previous studies.¹⁰⁻¹² A large post-hoc analysis of the RE-LY study demonstrated that dabigatran appeared no worse than warfarin for thromboembolic and bleeding outcomes in patients undergoing both electrical and pharmacologic cardioversion.¹¹ Another retrospective analysis confirmed no statistically significant differences in thromboembolic and bleeding events with dabigatran versus warfarin.¹² A meta-analysis comparing all DOACs, including dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban, to warfarin for cardioversion found no significant differences in thromboembolism, stroke, or major bleeding between groups.¹³

The numbers of technician and pharmacist encounters documented in 15-minute increments were significantly fewer in the dabigatran group due to absence of INR monitoring. The total cost for warfarin therapy was slightly lower than the total cost for dabigatran therapy. However, the cost analysis did not factor in phlebotomist costs or indirect costs such as the patients' time off work and travel costs for INR monitoring. Also, additional pharmacist time was probably required to review technician notes and telephone calls, and this was not measurable through chart review. As a result, the actual total costs of warfarin are likely higher than estimated, and the minor increase in cost of dabigatran found in this study is negligible when considering other factors.

This study is especially meaningful for the VA health care systems which are focused on improving timely access to care. Measures that will achieve clinical goals in a shorter time frame and reduce rescheduling rates are needed. Utilizing dabigatran in place of warfarin in the appropriate patients will achieve the goal of cardioversion in a shorter amount of time, reduce patient visits, travel costs, phlebotomist and pharmacist time, and rescheduling, thereby increasing available appointments in VA hospitals and improving efficiency and access to care.

The major limitation of this study is that it is a retrospective, single-center study with a small sample size. Many patients were excluded because they were already established on anticoagulation for a period of time prior to the decision to perform elective cardioversion, or they received TEE-based cardioversion. A lengthy time period of 61 months was required to obtain sufficient data, and many of the warfarin patients in this sample had cardioversion prior to the availability of dabigatran at the studied facility.

Conclusions

Dabigatran significantly decreases the number of days from initiation of anticoagulation to cardioversion, as compared to warfarin, with a minor increase in total costs. Implementation of

dabigatran as the preferred agent in VA and other health care systems may improve timely access to care and make elective cardioversion services more efficient.

Acknowledgement

This work was completed with support from the Veterans Health Administration. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

References

1. You JJ, Singer DE, Howard PA, Lane DA, Eckman MH, Fang MC, Hylek EM, Schulman S, Go AS, Hughes M, Spencer FA, Manning WJ, Halperin JL, Lip GY. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest*. 2012; e531S-575.
2. January CT, Wann L, Alpert JS, Calkins H, Cleveland JC, Cigarroa JE, Conti JB, Ellinor PT, Ezekowitz MD, Field ME, Murray KT, Sacco RL, Stevenson WG, Tchou PJ, Tracy CM, Yancy CW. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014; 64(21): 2246-2280. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2014.03.021.
3. Moreyra E, Finkelhor RS, Cebul RD. Limitations of transesophageal echocardiography in the risk assessment of patients before nonanticoagulated cardioversion from atrial fibrillation and flutter: an analysis of pooled trials. *Am Heart J*. 1995; 129 (1): 71-75.
4. Arnold AZ, Mick MJ, Mazurek RP, Loop FD, Trohman RG. Role of prophylactic anticoagulation for direct current cardioversion in patients with atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1992; 19: 851-855.
5. Baker WL, Cios DA, Sander SD, Coleman CI. Meta-analysis to assess the quality of warfarin control in atrial fibrillation patients in the United States. *J Manag Care Pharm*. 2009; 15: 244-252.
6. Tse M, Berkson T. Evaluation of dabigatran vs warfarin before cardioversion of atrial arrhythmias. *Fed Pract*. 2015; 32(10): 14-18.
7. Choo WK, Fraser S, Padfield G, Rushworth GF, Bloec C, Forsyth P, Cross SJ, Leslie SJ. Dabigatran improves the efficiency of an elective direct current cardioversion service. *Br J Cardiol*. 2014; 21: 29-32.
8. Pallisgaard JL, Lindhardt TB, Hansen ML, Schjerning AM, Olesen JB, Staerk L, Torp-Pedersen C, Gislason GH. Cardioversion and risk of adverse events with dabigatran versus warfarin—a nationwide cohort study. *PLoS ONE*. 10(10) e0141377. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141377
9. Gallagher MM, Guo XH, Poloniecki JD, Yap YG, Ward D, Camm AJ. Initial energy setting, outcome and efficiency in direct current cardioversion of atrial fibrillation and flutter. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2001; 38(5): 1498-1504.
10. Yadlapati A, Groh C, Passman R. Safety of short term use of dabigatran or rivaroxaban for direct-current cardioversion in patients with atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter. *Am J Cardiol*. 2014; 113: 1362-1363.
11. Nagarakanti R, Ezekowitz MD, Oldgren J, Yang S, Chernick M, Aikens TH, Flaker G, Brugada J, Kamensky G, Parekh A, Reilly, PA, Yusuf S, Connolly SJ. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: an analysis of patients undergoing cardioversion. *Circulation*. 2011; 123 (2): 131-136.
12. Coleman CM, Khalaf S, Mould S, Wazni O, Kanj M, Saliba W, Cantillon D. Novel oral anticoagulants for DC cardioversion procedures: utilization and clinical outcomes compared with warfarin. *PACE*. 2015; 38: 731-737.
13. Ando G, Trio O, Carej S. New oral anticoagulants versus vitamin K antagonists before cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of data from 4 randomized trials. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther*. 2015; 13(5): 577-583.